Supreme Court, U.S.
FILED
081339 APR 4 - 2009
OFFICE OF THE CLERK

127

No.

In The
SUPREME COURT
OF THE UNITED STATES

James Frank Osterbur ,
petitioner
V.
The United States of America &
The State of Illinois
Respondent

On petition for a writ of Certiorari to the United States Court of Appeals for the 7th circuit, Chicago IL

PETITION FOR A WRIT OF CERTIORARI

petitioner files pro se, as a citizen both of IL and this USA

James Frank Osterbur
2191 county road 2500 E
St. Joseph, IL 6187

QUESTION PRESENTED

The first amendment to the US constitution states and gives the following legal right: "....or the right of the people peaceably to assemble and to petition the government for a redress of grievances. "

As there can be NO DOUBT, or legal argument as to the condition of this USA in terms of "grievances" as to how our employees both of state and nation have failed to protect our lives, failed to protect our money, have created numerous threats that could lead to our extinction as a nation, world, or all life on earth. The critical question examined within the various courts of law that have preceded this case are all focused on the vary same issue. WE THE PEOPLE, MUST HAVE THE NECESSARY INFORMATION ABOUT OUR SITUATION IN BOTH STATE AND NATION, that we may truly know what is important for us to address, what is necessary for us to protect for ourselves, and our future, and our children's future. And our world. Because it is clear, the leaders of this nation, OUR EMPLOYEES of government, who are assigned to do, "according to the intent and mandate of this US and state constitution" have failed. They lack clarity, they discard honor, they despise honesty, and they cannot be trusted. Therefore we this nation and this state, MUST have a redress of grievances to protect ourselves from further damage by people who do not know what they are doing/ don't care about what they are doing/ or are so corrupt in what they are doing, that criminal charges must occur.Therefore the question to the court is:WILL YOU HONOR, THE FIRST AMENDMENT: REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES FOR THE PEOPLE OF THIS NATION?

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING

Petitioner: James Frank Osterbur
2191 county road 2500 E
ST. Joseph IL, 61873

DATED 4/22/09

Respondent: those authorities that do represent the vested interests of the state of Illinois as their respective leaders. Currently that leader for IL is Governor Patrick Quinn.

Respondent: those authorities that do represent the vested interests of the United States of America according to their job.
The president of the United States: Mr. Barack Obama as head of the executive branch of government
The US attorney general Mr. Eric Holder, as head of legal representation for the congress and legislative bodies.
The US SUPREME COURT JUDGES, as head of the judicial services for this USA.

And initially/ but certainly not last: as the single largest and most immediate threat of the moment, as we know it to be: the national ignition facility, chief scientist: Dr. John Lindl , at Lawrence Livermore Laboratories, Livermore CA.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE(S)

QUESTION PRESENTED.....i

PARTIES TO THE PROCEEDING....ii

TABLE OF CONTENTS.....iii

section iv

DECISIONS BELOW..... 6-7

REDRESS GRIEVANCES..... .7-25

section v

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES..... 25

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS.....26-27

JURISDICTION..... .25-26

REASONS FOR GRANTING THE PETITION.

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS------ 28

STATEMENT OF THE CASE..... ...29

APPELLATE DECISION CONFLICTS---------30-32

APPELLATE DECISION IS WRONG----------32-34

CONCLUSION.....----------.34

Appendix Separate.

IV
DECISIONS BELOW

I. The decision of the appellate court is in denial of the purpose, intent, confines, and merit established FOR THE PEOPLE, with regards to the fist amendment redress of grievances. An amendment for the people, NOT for the discretion of any judge/ NOT by the judgment of any "lawyer rhetoric". Rather it is the law, that every judge must follow. The promise to the people, that they shall indeed own their government as WE THE PEOPLE. Consequently the assumptions of ANY other claim are null and void. The rights of WE THE PEOPLE shall be protected. The decision of the appellate court pleads "he cannot be allowed a constitutional guaranteed right/ by lying about the document presented, me, the law, and their oath to protect the constitution, not the status quo.

II. THE DECISION of the appellate court (appendix) BELOW, IS WRONG in these further respects.

A. THE RIGHTS OF THE PEOPLE cannot be tampered with by a judge / the constitution guarantees a legal redress of grievances: the people's right/ not a judge's discretion.

B. The development of a legal right to petition the government as WE THE PEOPLE, of whom I am the petitioner. IS A LEGAL RIGHT GRANTED TO THE PEOPLE THEMSELVES, not to lawyers/ not to legal jargon or failures or consequences of irrelevant law; wherein only the constitution does in fact rule. **The constitution is thereby judge, as**

to whether this petition is warranted. And the reality is that those parameters set forth in the preamble as to the purposes and practice of our employees who we do grant to call "our government"/ HAVE NOT been met with duty, honor, honesty, responsibility to the people, or legal respect for the people. Our very lives, and our very nation are threatened by the employees who have failed.
C. This foundation of failure by our employees. This understanding and value and contractual declaration by the constitution/ as to WHAT our employees of government are intended to do for WE TH E PEOPLE is then expanded within the forty questions BASED UPON that preamble, and our situation as created by these employees: for questioning them, for defining and investigating the damage they have done, and for setting the values and liberties and honor of this nation aright; as WE THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. THIS IS set forth before the court below.

THESE ARE
1. Based upon law, what is the constitutional mandate of the court? This case called incoherent and frivolous/ deals directly with the health, economy, future, and honor of this nation, AND the protection of its people, even its land and water: according to the US constitution. **It does NOT seek rebellion/ BUT IT DOES DEMAND AN ACCOUNTING TO PROVE WHAT IS REAL, TRUE, AND FUNDAMENTALLY ACCURATESO THAT ALL FRAUD AND**

DELUSION SHALL STOP. Prove it is not so.
2. Based upon law, what is the foundation and merit of aligning frivolous and incoherent against the clear possibilities of mass murder and terrorist actions: WHEN IN FACT, the experiments about to be undergone, CANNOT BE called benign/ NOR can they be stated as known conclusions, for they are experiments. In contrast: When the law of physical action and energy requires a reaction that will be equal; and the stated facts by the experimental staff in question dictate as much energy will be released as a multi-megaton bomb, in a suburb of San Francisco. What then is unclear, about risk/ and protection of the people, nation, and world?

3. **Based upon law and constitutional mandate,** when shall the employees of government stop: **upon what terms exactly, is the risk too high;** and therefrom THIS COURT, must intervene to protect the people and the nation/ so that the gamblers do not destroy us all? SO THAT OUR WORLD, and our nation is in fact protected above those who do play "satan" (the intent to destroy, even a world). What cannot be undone, what is fundamentally a threat or potential threat to life, environment, nature, or ecology: ALL REPRESENT an end to life! Where does the boundary called LIFE FIRST demand this will not be so?
4. Based upon law and constitutional guarantees: exactly when does the legal guarantees of a first amendment redress of grievances become an action of the people/ whereby the employees shall give account for what they have done? IF NOT TODAY,

WHEN THE TRAGEDY OF ERROR, BAD JUDGMENT, AND THREATS THAT EXTEND TO A WORLD, is not enough: then prove the right to interpret differently. Explain this in detail.

5. Based upon law and constitutional guarantees to protect this nation and its people, and its children, and their futures: WHEN is the stealing, the lying, the mutilating, the threats, the foundation of failure, the disease of arrogance so blatant, and the desertion of duty with regard to this nation, its children, or this world: To much? We are threatened on every side, investigation and prosecution of the facts will prove that: WE CAN BE EXTERMINATED by men. When does the court get to make a ***decision/WHEN DO THE PEOPLE GET TO MAKE THIS DECISION:*** that literally subjects this nation to life or death: without even an investigation? When does the judge or group of judges, become an executioner for us all, should they be wrong? The answer is: when they assume, the risk/ and tell the people they have no say, a judge or an official has gone too far. That is not democracy/ it is tyranny, and you have no right. PROVE this is not so.

6. Based upon law and constitutional guarantees: when is due process so pitifully small and insignificant, that liars may use fraud to misdirect the court, and turn away the needs, the life, the future, and the guarantees of a citizen of this United States of America: with frivolous and incoherent stupidity. Grossly misrepresenting justice, and

protecting only the powerful? With such pitiful disgrace as rules, or the outright fraud of judges who proclaim "can't understand/ what a grade school child can". When are lies, such as trillions of dollars added to a debt we cannot already pay: anything but fraud/ theft/ and blatant power over the people? What is your merit, where is your honor, what is honest about FRAUD.

7. Based upon law, when do trivial rules supersede the sanctity and honor of the people: their right to justice, the protection of the people, THE DUTY and responsibility to aid and abet happiness, hope, peace and the honor of this nation and this world? There is no excuse for not understanding these words; judges who pretend to be children are not welcomed. Broken oaths, are fundamentally possessions of the people, and subject to their decision/ not yours.

8. Based upon law: the constitution, article three section 1 states: "The judicial power of the United States shall be vested in one supreme court....." *Prove what you cannot hear as, or under original jurisdiction, anything you choose.* Section two: "the judicial power shall extend to all cases, in law and equity, arising under this constitution, the laws of the United States, ..." *Prove this case is anything, but that/ for it is only that and nothing more.* And again: "To controversies..... between a state, or the citizens thereof, ..." *For I bring to trial the state of IL, for absolute fiscal failure, in bringing this state to bankruptcy, and taking away the financial realities of our lives/ my life, as I am now expected and must endure the consequences of that failure. The*

demand for a complete accounting is absolute/ the reality of fiscal failure is solidified by the state officials, own words. I have a right to know: what these employees of state, and the employees of this nation have done to me, to our nation, and the clear accounting of what is real: NO MORE LIES, the truth. IT IS OUR MONEY/ AND OUR LIVES: NOT YOURS! WE ARE THE OWNERS! Is this not a first amendment redress of grievances case/ is not the first amendment a critical legal right/ guaranteed to the people; by which the judge, the congress, and the president must abide? Prove it is not so.

9. Prove due process is functionally NOT the duty of this court to protect according to the fourteenth amendment: granting immunities from frivolous, incoherent, or dishonorable actions by any judge, any representative of a judge, or in fact any employee of government or state. An amendment demanding: NO UNDUE restrictions shall be applied to the citizen, **JUSTICE, and LAW shall come first.** Prove you have done your duty/ prove those under your direct command as a district and appellate court, have done theirs.

10. Based upon the law: **establish the facts** regarding the rights of a citizen of this United States, when entering a courtroom and demanding justice, fair play, and with sufficient evidence to assert: A first amendment redress of grievances is required of you. **Prove the need for rules, that do not refine justice and protect life and society for the masses.** Prove that words, and

evidence, and rights are not more important than a diploma. **Prove it is not your job:** to serve this nation first, to protect all the people first, to give this people DEMOCRACY as intended by the constitution. And as applied under the direction of WE THE PEOPLE.

11. Based upon the law and the constitution: establish who owns this nation. AND CREATE THEIR AUTHORITY UNDER THE LAW, over the employees of government.

12. Based upon the law, and the constitution: ESTABLISH THE DUTY owed to the owners of this nation by its employees. Explain the facts regarding: WHAT IS FAIR, WHAT IS HONORABLE, & WHAT IS TRUE. Which means NO MORE delusions and grandeur, no more lies or infatuation with pride, no more fantasies about being "a super power"/ and a return to life first.

13. Based upon the law, and the constitution: explain the levels of ownership, and the rights of that owner, and the obligations of that owner to this nation. Explain, "if the employees, servants, or slaves of this nation: have a duty, an obligation, or a right to refuse. Explain as an owner, WHAT IS YOUR DUTY AS WELL. Explain as a temporary citizen/ owner (meaning you too will die)/ WHAT IS YOUR RESPONSIBILITY TO THE FUTURE.

14. Based upon the law, and the constitution: explain the meaning of interpretation (what is your responsibility as a court, to the integrity and

purpose of the document upon which OUR LAW, AND OUR NATION rests), and define the responsibilities of the interpreters, in protecting the sanctity and truth for a nation of people? For you/they are hired employees, and hold no position as god, or ruler, and ARE NOT immune to the law itself. Therefore these are not free, but assigned with duty, and a demand for discipline.

15. Based upon the law, and the constitution: establish the responsibility of the employees to the people, with regard to protecting the equity; "the right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects..." as interpreted within the fourth amendment. WHERE DOES THE GAMBLING STOP/ WHEN HAS TRUTH BEEN DISOBEYED, and the LIARS taken control? Absolute truth and clarity in the accounting and creation of control by the law; is our right.

16. Based upon the law, and the constitution, according to the seventh amendment, describing "in suits at common law, where the value in controversy shall exceed twenty dollars, the right of trial by jury shall be preserved ..." Or more simply: this case represents MORE than a small amount of money: liars and thieves are in plain sight, and they are many. A case involving the realities of costs burdening an entire state & nation; even affecting this world. A case denied, by perjury within the appellate court, 7th circuit: "they did understand/ and, these words are not incoherent or frivolous". There is no doubt, they understood perfectly.

17. Based upon the law, and the constitution: the thirteenth amendment guarantees "neither slavery nor involuntary servitude.....shall exist within the United States..." Yet the balance of power applied by the numbers generated by our employees of the federal government prove: that the majority are indeed slaves or involuntary servants, because the numbers, the methods, and the actions held by a few people, have made it impossible for the vast majority to know: True freedom or liberty, as money proves to be a barrier and a weapon to deny their own rights, lives, and hopes. Prove this is constitutionally acceptable. Prove: for a few people, to have the lives of so many people "held by ransom, in their numbers"; is not criminal and in contempt for the purposes and desires, called democracy. The foundation intent, for this nation is DEMOCRACY FOR ALL THE PEOPLE. Our Reality then, does not allow slavery, not by any method or means.

18. Prove based upon the law, under the purposes and desire for justice to all the people: what is fair about numbers that can be used against, all/ the vast majority of, the people. What is unfair for the majority, is for a few, to have immense power over them. Prove this is not tyranny. Prove freedom does not have a price/ and that price is honesty in the assertion of power, the means called pride. Or more simply: THERE SHALL BE LIMITS/ so that all may join in prosperity, and not become slaves to the few.

19. Prove based upon the constitution as the foundation of ALL law in this nation: that it, the

constitution, does not have jurisdiction above the courts, legislatures, or president. THAT THE CONSTITUTION IS NOT THE FOUNDATION OF ALL LAW. And If, the constitution does have jurisdiction/ then the preamble to that constitution dictates the direction, the desire, and the purpose of the nation itself. It defines the limits of every law/ and assigns every action or reaction by an employee of government called WE THE PEOPLE: to its purposes and desires for this nation. Therefore our employees are granted knowledge, and they Must, carry themselves and their work, through to this end, to this purpose and abide within this law. Regardless of what " citizen authorities might say".

20. Based upon the law; The constitutional demand to preserve this nation, and protect its people and its children: is fundamental to this nation. THEN, ESTABLISH how the ransacking, rape, destruction of everything necessary for life, the gambling with nature itself by purposely mutilating it, the weapons of mass destruction, and the failures in countless arena's of hope and happiness can exist? Prove the law and the constitution do not demand you shall obey, and choose LIFE FIRST. PROVE the employees of government have not so badly stripped honor, respect, dignity, and duty from this nation, that it no longer bares any resemblance to constitutional intent/ AND THEN EXPLAIN WHY THAT IS SO, as the protectors and defenders of the constitution itself: The us supreme court.

21. Based upon the law, PROVE THAT DEBTS DO

NOT HAVE TO BE PAID/ THAT ACCOUNTING DOES NOT NEED TO BE REAL/ AND THAT WHERE BANKRUPTCY IS EVIDENT AND TRUE: there will not be a remedy within the law. Prove the people must work for lies, liars, and fraud; because if they don't, then they die. Prove truth in the money/ or stop the descent into absolute economic chaos and civil war.

22. Based upon the law: PROVE THAT FRAUD, the intentional presentation of false & misleading information, for the purpose of controlling the decision of others: wherein we the people must rely upon that information/ and base our own decision: FOR OUR FUTURE, OUR CHILDREN, OUR LIVES; therefrom is NOT an injury to the people. We have indeed been injured, because LIARS control the information we receive. PROPAGANDA is everywhere. There can be no trust in government, there can be no trust in financial institutions, there can be no trust in the money supply, there can be no trust in suggestions that to multiply the numbers called money by trillions of dollars is not inflation. There can be no trust in "letting the wealthy grow their numbers by trillions"/ while letting the poor and majority simply have enough to survive, if they can: is anything but slavery. It surely is. There can be no trust in dollars, when the numbers represented by each 1 trillion dollars is equal to 50 million workers, at $20,000.00 dollars each. It is fraud. Change this, or be charged with criminal contempt, intent, theft, and corruption.

23. Based upon the numbers called money, WHEN does theft become apparent and real/ when is fraud too much to endure? When it is said that social security for the masses is indebted by 52 trillion dollars= $1.05 million per worker in unpaid debts. Is that enough to declare fraud? Is that enough to understand theft has occurred and investigate before all the people to determine WHERE DID THIS MONEY GO? Because the people paid/ so where did the money go? Is this not their right to know, who stole their future. PROVE REALITY/ NOT DELUSION or subjected to lies. Prove what is true today/ NO predictions for the future, WHAT IS SIMPLY TRUE IN THIS MOMENT OF TIME. We will decide, who is thief.

24. Based upon the law, establish the penalties for those directly responsible for attacking the people, by adhering to the enemy and subverting the guaranteed rights of the UNITED STATES CONSTITUTION. Are these guaranteed rights, such as is represented by this case: immune from attack by our employees, or not. IS THE RIGHT TO MAKE YOUR OWN DECISION AS A CITIZEN OF THIS USA, in matters that are fundamentally presented, with legitimate evidence, predicting life or death for the nation, the children, or the world: not a guarantee: WE THE PEOPLE need to know/ so that WE THE PEOPLE shall then decide? If not, then who has the right to decide, A RISK: that includes, the fate of every life on this planet? Because fools are risking this very thing/ and because the experiment has not been carried out/ nor

can it be carried out without treason to the people: NO prediction apart from "this is possible" can be made. WE THE PEOPLE DO, HAVE THE RIGHT TO DECIDE FOR OURSELVES/ if this risk is too high. My life/ our lives; Our decision under the law, by investigation of the evidence/ OR JUST PLAIN REFUSAL to accept any such risk at all. Thereby demanding the end of ALL, "big science" forever.

25. Based upon the law, WHO HAS THE RIGHT to mutilate nature itself? Who has the right to gamble with every food we eat? Who has the right to ransack and remove every resource? Who has the right to waste the water, or use it unwisely? Who has the right to threaten a world, or even a single child without protection from the law? Answer the question. IS IT NOT YOUR JOB, AS THE US SUPREME COURT TO PROTECT THE PEOPLE, INCLUDING THE FUTURE? Prove what is required of you.

26. Based upon the law: ESTABLISH the parameters through investigation, that determine criminal corruption in government. The list, of what you an employee of the people SHALL NOT DO/ and the punishment that WILL be applied if you fail to abide in that demand.
And then create the foundation upon which WE THE PEOPLE, shall NOT pay any more tax, until this corruption is ended, the criminal is brought to justice/ or the nation is returned to life first, and not the fraud of money.

27. Based upon the law: establish the penalty for those who have taken the property and equity of WE THE PEOPLE, and spent it carelessly and without any sense of truth, or duty, or responsibility, or honor, or honesty, ETC. Creating for the people instead: the beginning of a terrible crisis of economy/ a tragedy for this world. Explain how fantasy, delusion, and just plain lies and stealing SHALL HAVE A COST. As it is done to the majority, when they do the same. PROVE there is no immunity in government, for employees engaged in criminal pursuit/ or simple fantasy, from which true and real injury to the people does occur.

28. Based upon the law, and its interpretation of justice: DEFINE the meaning of WE THE PEOPLE. Explain our authority over government, and examine OUR RIGHT to control change within that government by and within, constitutional intent.

29. Based upon the law: PROVE, you the US supreme court have not in fact, given this nation over to fraud and manipulation by allowing all media to be controlled by a tiny few people. Taking the voice of the people from them, and contributing to the destruction of Democracy, and this nation called the United States of America.

30. Based upon law and equity: PROVE the employees of this USA have not counterfeited money, by fraudulent representation of the facts. Article 1 of the constitution allows for the power to borrow money on the credit of the USA/ but it does

not give power over to anyone to RUIN THE CREDIT, or HONOR OF THIS USA. Prove it is not so. Prove the continuation of lies, the fraud of numbers, the theft of inflation whether admitted to or not, the disruption of money by ruining its value for the people, the failure to regulate and protect the foundations and general welfare of the people of this nation/ or this state, is in any way "constitutional". Prove the employees of every branch of government both state and nation, HAVE NOT FAILED. ARE NOT FAILING. And will not destroy the foundations upon which this nation depends. Their methods have proven otherwise/ with clarity, certainty, and absolute disregard for truth. WANT, is NOT ENOUGH. Fantasy and delusion are merely descriptions for fraud, failure, corruption; and describe only LIARS. It is not "government"/ it is "organized crime".

31. Based upon law and equity: PROVE, if this nation must go to war/ that we shall all not go to war in every sense with these soldiers, by draft, by constriction of business and industry for the purposes of war, and by the taxation necessary to wage war: AS THE PEOPLE THEMSELVES DECIDE BY VOTE. It is our lives/ therefore it is our decision to go to war, or not. NO MORE GOVERNMENT: **It is OUR RESPONSIBILITY TO DECIDE**, IT IS OUR DUTY, to provide true and real support, where war is concerned: until those soldiers come home, or treaties are signed to relieve the danger. And find their "common lives" back.

32. Based upon constitutional intent for a true democracy as WE THE PEOPLE: PROVE it is not time and truth, that we as a nation do not have the power to communicate directly with the people, be informed as an educated populace, and make the decisions that we choose to make: for governing ourselves. Prove voting for me, for the laws and important decisions as is necessary and real/ INSTEAD of voting for someone to vote for me, is not DEMOCRACY AS WE THE PEOPLE, in more perfect truth. Prove the constitution does not allow "for forming a more perfect union".

33. Based upon the critical realities of life as a nation, PROVE there should not be limits to immigration: DEMANDING of those who desire to live here, that after a period of NOT MORE than 7 years, "good behavior: a case in point the James F. Osterbur Versus Alit Selimi trial; found in case abstract www.trialforlife.info "/ they shall have indeed accepted the duties and applied, and become citizens of this USA. Or shall be considered potential enemies, as they have no allegiance with this nation/ **and shall be deported. Either you will walk with us, or somewhere else.**

34. Based upon critical facts and foundations upon which our very survival depends: PROVE WE SHALL SURVIVE the future these complete failures, "called leaders/ called university graduates" have caused for us to be threatened with; as clearly outlined in www.justtalking.info . It is not an idol threat, to face starvation, because there is no

fertilizer (we know it will end, with a population currently growing at 2 million people over deaths, per week) / no water for irrigation or drinking (at one inch of aquifer regeneration per 50 inches of rainfall, WE KNOW, these will end) , or a dead ocean (WE KNOW, how much sea life is sold), or a world devastated by pollution (WE KNOW, how many trillions of gallons are "dispensed into this world"), weapons of mass destruction (leave no room for doubt/ terrorists, hate, pride, and a thirst for power will prove they are coming quickly) , pandemic's caused by COMPLETELY IRRESPONSIBLE use of antibiotics in animal feed (WE KNOW, this is altering the balance of microscopic species, and mutilating them against us)/ genetic mutilation (even a worm should know better, damned fools)/ blind damnation as has " big science" become to this world (playing with bringing heat, vacuums, and energies beyond what is found in the common universe here to earth; just how brain dead do you have to be/ how utterly arrogant and filled with the cancer called pride to fail to understand such stupidity is being dead: WAKE UP); and more. HOW IS THREATENING AN ENTIRE WORLD/ THIS ENTIRE NATION CONSTITUTIONAL: Explain it, this is our lives & our future. NOT your damned toy.

35. Based upon critical facts and foundations of knowledge: WE KNOW, how much oxygen it takes to burn fuels in fire. WE KNOW, how much fuel is sold/ therefore we know how much fire, and as a consequence how much oxygen by volume must be

dedicated to these fires. Use math, and learn that consumption exceeds the ability of plants on this earth to replace that oxygen (even before a single living creation, takes a breath). WE KNOW, by the experiments done in the desert, "for space exploration"; That the oxygen needed to be produced will fail. PROVE, it is not "governments job" to intervene in this catastrophe.

36. Based upon critical facts and absolute truth with regard to known realities: PROVE the religious education called evolution/ should not only be "evacuated or destroyed from all governmental and education institutions". PROVE YOUR FACTS, or fail and be dissolved forever. PROVE, what the body does not need immediately, or it will die. Prove this religion of the damned, has any place among the living.

37. Based upon JUSTICE AND FAIR PLAY is not being dissolved in a courtroom. Prove the tyranny of a courtroom wherein words and rules are used as weapons: whose objective is to steal, pillage, rape, and destroy the trust of the American people in their NEED FOR LAW; by becoming a place only of money. Life is not defined by money/ it is created by truth, established in society by honor/ developed through the disciplines that are duty and responsibility. It is not for the greedy to be held high, "as winners". NOT FOR lawyers, corporations, and others that manipulate the law, bribe the lawmakers, and are nothing more than treasonous enemies to the harmony and peace

intended by fair play. OUR foundation demand upon the employees of this government called WE THE PEOPLE; Is justice. Prove this is not so.

38. BASED UPON REALITY: prove there shall not be change/ from one end of governmental influence, to the other: until such time exists, that this UNITED STATES OF AMERICA again stands for honor, respect, and TRUTH. Prove every employee shall not comply with the demands of a courtroom to create a full and complete understanding of what is real and true, in this United States of America. There shall be NO "fifth amendment" with regard to an employee of the people/ THEY SHALL ANSWER WITH ALL HONESTY AND INTENT FOR TRUTH.

39. Based upon fair play, honorable action, honest and valid truth, duty and responsibility: define and explain WHY the bad decisions of the wealthy, are my responsibility. Define and explain, WHY I/ WE MUST PAY OUR DEBTS/ AND THESE OTHERS, who have had "every resource available to them, for free, have had access to all necessary information, and chose ONLY TO BE GREEDY AND CONSUME US:" NEED NOT PAY THEIRS. Take back the money/ and produce penalties and payments from them.

40. BASED UPON TRUTH: the foundation laid by "institutions too big to fail"/ HAS PROVEN TO BE A CATASTROPHE FOR THE NATION. Thereby, none of these shall ever exist in this nation again. Prove no law should be enacted: to establish the

same. Thereby demand of the executive and legislative branches: that they will produce this law/ whereby NO ENTITY shall ever take control over this people again. There shall be limits/ and these shall be protected by constitutional amendment. Every state and territory shall abide/ and NO entity shall do business here, that does not comply; including foreign or off shore concerns. We will have protection for the people, with truth in what can harm us; and reality in what will protect us as life.

V.

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

This foundation law of the Constitution labeled as the first amendment to the document NEEDS NO other authority. **THE CONSTITUTION IS LITERALLY THE LAW OF THIS LAND/ AND THERE IS NO OTHER, SUPERIOR TO IT.** Any other construction or interpretation is either irrelevant, or criminal by its intent/ even treasonous.

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

The foundation demand for actions and reactions by our government employees in the purpose of guiding and protecting our lives, and this nation: AS IS DECLARED WITHIN THE PREAMBLE OF THE US CONSTITUTION: ***We the people of the***

United States, in order to form a more perfect union, establish justice, insure domestic tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general welfare, and secure the blessings of liberty to ourselves, and our posterity, do ordain and establish this constitution for the United States of America.

This article 3 of the constitution whereby the judicial services and responsibilities are proclaimed : section 1, the judicial power of the United States shall be vested in one supreme court.... And shall hold their offices during good behavior.... Establishes the law, that there is NO restriction upon the court/ NOT to hear any case under original jurisdiction that they choose to hear; except as identified in section 2. 1 "The judicial power shall extend to all cases in law and equity, arising under this constitution.....to controversies in which the United States shall be a party;....and between a state, or the citizens thereof...

Because the concept of redress of grievances came after the constitution itself, as an amendment it lacks the absolute clarity of original jurisdiction/ but there can be no doubt, that the purpose of the court is to support and defend WE THE PEOPLE, under that redress of grievances: according to what is necessary, and within the intent of the people called this United States of America. ARTICLE 3, is thereby amended by the purposes and intent of the first amendment itself/ to protect the people, and defend this nation.

DECISIONS BELOW

The decision of the US court of appeals for the 7th circuit was entered : DISMISSED on February 4, 2009 . On March 30, 2009 this court issued a mandate to remove and destroy the record , and evidence of appeal, after 10 days. Those documents appear in the appendix

JURISDICTION

The appellate court for the 7th circuit argues lack of subject matter jurisdiction. This petitioner agrees: THEY SHALL NOT/ ARE NOT Authorized to hear a first of its kind, first amendment REDRESS OF GRIEVANCES as petitioned for me, & for the people, by me. These are matters which can be dealt with only at the very highest level of judicial competency and authority. That means a first amendment redress of grievances can only be heard under original jurisdiction by the US SUPREME COURT. The appellate court agrees, it cannot hear this case. That leaves DUE PROCESS at the doorstep of those employees called the judges, of this US supreme court.

CONSTITUTIONAL PROVISIONS

Are extended to the words and truths as are defined and declared the "people right" under the BILL OF RIGHTS, as are known to be pivotal in the creation of this nation itself. Thereby they are indeed companion documents of this US constitution.

Particularly section 2. "That all power is vested in, and consequently derived from, the people; that magistrates are their trustees and servants, and at all time amenable to them.
And section 3; that government is, or ought to be, instituted for the common benefit, protection, and security of the people, nation, or community; of all the various modes and forms of government, that is best which is capable of producing the greatest degree of happiness and safety, and is most effectually secured against the danger of mal-administration; and that, when any government shall be found inadequate or contrary to these purposes, a majority of the community hath an indubitable, inalienable, and infeasible right to reform, alter, or abolish it, in such manner as shall be judged most conducive to the public weal.
And section 4. That no man, or set of men, are entitled to exclusive or separate emoluments or privileges from the community...
And section 15. That no free government, or the blessings of liberty, can be preserved to any people, but by a firm adherence to justice, moderation, temperance, frugality, and virtue, and by frequent recurrence to fundamental principles.

Are extended to the words and truths as are defined and declared the "people's truth;" under the Declaration of Independence; as is known to be pivotal in the creation of this nation itself. Thereby they are indeed companion documents of this US constitution. Particularly"....we hold these truths to

be self-evident, that all men are created equal; that they are endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights; that among these are life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. That, to secure these rights, governments are instituted among men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed; that wherever any form of government becomes destructive of these ends, it is the right of the people to alter or abolish it, and to institute a new government, laying its foundations on such principles, and organizing its powers in such form, as to them shall seem most likely to effect their safety and happiness. ...."

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

This petition seeks review of the extraordinary need, for redirection and stabilization of this nation. The foundations set up by the founding citizens of this nation are clear. That we the people are OWNERS OF THIS NATION and this STATE OF ILLINOIS. That as OWNERS OF THIS NATION and THIS STATE OF IL, it is our right to assess and investigate, and examine, and decide what has gone wrong with our nation.
It is our right as OWNERS OF THIS NATION and THIS STATE OF IL, to investigate, examine, identify, and decide the truth of what our employees have done to us AND SEEK appropriate and real opportunities for change/ including but not limited to: the removal or punishment of any and all

employees involved in corruption or criminal acts. It is our right as OWNERS OF THIS NATION and THIS STATE OF IL; to a redress of grievances for this very purpose. Creating through the courtroom: an opportunity to hear and collect the truth, that we may indeed decide as a people, by our own educated vote.

THE DECISION OF THE APPELLATE COURT FOR THE 7TH CIRCUIT; DIRECTLY CONFLICTS WITH THE FOUNDATION AND LAWS OF THIS LAND/ THIS CONSTITUTION FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

The decision of the appellate court lacks respect for the law/ is devoid of respect for the first amendment/ is evidence of a disease within the courtroom of America (under the control of the US supreme court), that is in denial of the first amendment redress of grievances; A LEGAL RIGHT PROVIDED TO THE PEOPLE OF THIS USA.

The appellate court denies strictly on the appellants brief and record: demanding this is "completely incoherent and contains no discernable claims.... The district court found that the case was frivolous and insubstantial and therefore dismissed it for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Quoting law (federal courts lack jurisdiction over claims that are so insubstantial as to be devoid of merit) And arising as liars and traitors to the reality of constitutional demands applied to the court system of America. The appellate court "seems to claim"/ that there is no discernable relationship to the collection of taxes,

and the expectation or contractual intent of the constitution that these taxes should be used as the constitution had intended. Basic contractual law disagrees.

The appellate court argues " a litigant in this court must supply an argument consisting of more than a generalized assertion of error, with citations to supporting authority". They thereby discard the first amendment of the constitution as "null and void" creating for themselves a delusion of superiority to the law.

The appellate court claims " although we construe pro se filings liberally, even litigants proceeding without the benefit of counsel must articulate some reason for disturbing the district courts judgment". Thereby proving through the evidence and facts of this case, that there is NO RESPECT FOR THE CITIZEN, and NO RESPECT FOR THE OWNERSHIP CALLED "WE THE PEOPLE". For there is indeed argument based upon the promises made to the people, by these very employees, all employees: for they are not "kings or queens, or in any way superior to the law. The law is established to protect the people, and that means all the people. ANY JUDGE, who declares themselves so incompetent as that they cannot understand what the vast majority of the people of this nation CAN UNDERSTAND/ says of him or herself: I MUST BE FIRED.

The appellate court suggests that I do not challenge the district courts reasoning for calling the demand for a first amendment redress of grievances "frivolous and insubstantial" even though the failure

on all counts as is reported daily through media/ the threats as are constant and real against our lives ARE KNOWN to any and every educated person as substantial. Argument is unnecessary, where such fantasy and delusion exist. Where a judge cannot raise him or herself out of kindergarten/ or is in contempt of the constitution of this United States itself. Because reality states: this is no game! Because truth states: playing with criminals or spoiled little children, "is unnecessary". Dismissed for lack of merit.

THE DECISION OF THE APPELLATE COURT IS WRONG

A. THE CONSTITUTION guarantees this people, and me; the right to a redress of grievances. THE ACCOUNTING and THE COURTROOM: NECESSARY TO UNDERSTAND, what our employees have done to us. AND TO FIND TRUTH, through investigation, examination, identification, and decision as the people choose for themselves.

B. THE EVIDENCE DECIDES, if a petition to grant redress of grievances and identify all the participants/ threats/ thefts/ lies/ and failures of our employees is necessary. THE COURT, BY CONSTITUTIONAL LAW, is required to comply. **That evidence is INSURMOUNTABLE.**

C. THE EXAMINATION OF ALL THAT HAS, CAN, SHALL, OR MIGHT AFFECT OUR LIVES, OUR

FUTURE, OR OUR PLANET cannot be denied. **WE ARE THE OWNERS OF THIS NATION/ not our employees.**

NO LAW or RULE, EXISTS IN CONTRADICTION TO THE DEMANDS OF OUR FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS. Only the examination of evidence to determine if the constitutional mandate FOR THE PROTECTION AND SAFETY AND FREEDOMS OF THE PEOPLE CAN DECIDE, this right to trial of our employees, BY WE THE PEOPLE.

THE LAW DECIDES/ NOT THE JUDGE. The law walks with me. THE "FACTS OF FAILURE" by our employees are insurmountable.

UNDER ANY VIEW OF CONSTITUTIONAL LAW, THE FOUNDATION OF THIS NATION: THERE IS NO ALLOWANCE FOR THE JUDGE TO DECLARE THEMSELVES AS SUPERIOR TO THE LAW. NO AUTHORITY, beyond what the constitution allows. The duty of interpretation is not a right/ it is a demand to adhere to the true intent, purpose, and desire as described within the document itself. IF that duty is not "substantially upheld"/ THEN the oath of office sworn to, "as my truth, my work, my job" is sacrificed to treason and

its purposes, by the judge.

CONCLUSION

GRANT THIS PETITION FOR FIRST AMENDMENT RIGHTS GUARANTEED TO WE THE PEOPLE!

UNDERSTAND, THE LAW and THIS NATION: BELONGS TO THE PEOPLE, NOT the court, NOT the executive power, NOT the legislative power, NOT the military, NOT the university or its lawyers. BUT TO TH E PEOPLE.